



Terance Healy <yepsterance@gmail.com>

Pa. court denies PennLive access to undisclosed information from Porngate probe | PennLive.com

Terance Healy <yepsterance@gmail.com>

Tue, Oct 17, 2017 at 2:55 AM

To: Todd Krauthaim <tmkrauthaim@gmail.com>, WMckelvey@pennlive.com, mmiller@pennlive.com, dgansler@buckleysandler.com

PA Court Opinions are funny twisted things.

Did you really ask for the Buckley Sandler reports? There was no requirement for any Buckley Sandler Report. The agreement with the law firm was only to handle staffing, THERE IS NO DELIVERABLE IN THAT AGREEMENT.

In the Appointment document, there is a deliverable. It directs Doug Gansler to PUBLICLY file a report... AND to provide it to the Attorney General... The report has certain requirements which were also to be accomplished BY DOUG GANSLER.

The report was to include a FULL AND COMPLETE description of the investigation. Gansler was NOT directed to provide the report exclusively to the Attorney General. BUT, HE DID. THEN, CASTOR AND BEEMER prevented the report from becoming public until they released the REDACTED version. Ya see, Castor & Beemer were the AG at the time. As AG, they did have a confidentiality mandate which conceals the misdeeds of the OAG. They would not be permitted to release the FULL REPORT.

DOUG GANSLER DID NOT HAVE ANY CONFIDENTIALITY MANDATE. It was why Kane selected him. It is why Kane did NOT provide him with staff out of the OAG. It is why they contracted with Buckley Sandler to provide staffing. No baggage! Nothing which would require a lawyer to hold information confidential.

There is no attorney client privilege issues for Gansler. There is no work product privilege issue for Gansler.

TRYING TO OBTAIN THE DOCUMENT FROM THE AG IS FUTILE. THE CONFIDENTIALITY LAW PREVENTS THE AG FROM ACTING. Kane was permitted to proceed as she had done, BECAUSE when she received those secret orders from unidentified courts to PERSONALLY neglect the responsibilities of the office of the AG.... She became FULLY AWARE of the unconstitutional impact of Rule 1.6 Confidentiality, as such she could proceed to address the problem. A careful dance where the Supreme Court had enacted the law... and done it improperly... and unconstitutionally... and without authority... and found themselves required to keep their own secret.

Perhaps a RTKL letter to Doug Gansler would comply with the Agreements, and expose the level of crime and corruption within law enforcement which will shock the country.

So, Doug.... Can you send us a few copies of the full and complete report? Not only it is supposed to be public, BUT IF MY NAME IS IN IT ANYWHERE (AND I SUSPECT IT IS) I was to get a copy according to the agreement.

Thanks,
Terance

[Quoted text hidden]